

CITY OF BELLEVUE
CITY COUNCIL

Summary Minutes of Regular Session

September 8, 2015
8:00 p.m.

Council Chamber
Bellevue, Washington

PRESENT: Mayor Balducci and Councilmembers Chelminiak, Lee, Robertson, Robinson, and Stokes

ABSENT: Deputy Mayor Wallace

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 8:06 p.m., with Mayor Balducci presiding.

2. Roll Call, Flag Salute

All Councilmembers, except Deputy Mayor Wallace, were present. Councilmember Stokes led the flag salute.

(a) Presentation of Check from Bellevue Youth Theatre Foundation

Mayor Balducci introduced Bellevue Youth Theatre Foundation President David Ruehr and Vice President Katherine Jordan.

Ms. Jordan said the Bellevue Youth Theatre started 24 years ago and has grown from 10 participants to more than 1,000 participants. More than 300 volunteers work with the theatre program, and 6,500 individuals attended performances during the most recent season.

Mr. Ruehr said the Foundation has supported the theatre since 2002 and is involved in advocacy for the program, community outreach, scholarships, and fundraising for guest artists, workshops and equipment. The Foundation has worked on the capital campaign for the new theatre for a number of years and everyone is excited about the new facility. Mr. Ruehr thanked the City and Council for their support and presented a check in the amount of \$193,000.

A parent/volunteer thanked the Council and introduced a group of youth to perform a quick song of thanks. Mayor Balducci thanked the Foundation for the contribution. She noted that the theatre's programs welcome everyone in the community to participate.

(b) Proclamation of September as National Recovery Month

Mayor Balducci read a proclamation recognizing National Recovery Month in Bellevue.

Andrea LaFazia Geraghty, Program Manager, accepted the proclamation on behalf of the King County Mental Health, Chemical Abuse and Dependency Services Division of the King County Department of Community and Human Services. She thanked the City for its leadership and recognition of September as National Recovery Month. She thanked the following agency partners who provide prevention, intervention, and treatment in support of those seeking recovery from mental illness and substance abuse: Sound Mental Health, Youth Eastside Services, Friends of Youth, and Therapeutic Health Services.

Ms. Geraghty thanked Councilmember Robinson for her current service, and Councilmember Chelminiak for his past service, on the King County Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Oversight Committee.

Tresa Thomas Massiongale, Sound Mental Health, commented on the importance of recognizing within the community the comprehensive nature of recovery. She thanked the City and King County for their support of needed services.

Mayor Balducci asked how an individual could access assistance. Ms. Massiongale said Sound Mental Health has a program called Rapid Access. Theresa Winther, Manager of Child and Family Services for the Eastside, said the program addresses walk-in clients in need of an assessment and help.

At 8:18 p.m., Mayor Balducci declared a quick break to take pictures with the guests. The meeting resumed at 8:20 p.m.

3. Approval of Agenda

→ Councilmember Stokes moved to approve the agenda, and Councilmember Robertson seconded the motion.

→ The motion to approve the agenda carried by a vote of 6-0.

4. Communications: Written and Oral

(a) Don Marsh, representing the Coalition of Eastside Neighborhoods for Sensible Energy (CENSE), said there is an urgent issue regarding the environmental review process for Puget Sound Energy's Energize Eastside project. He said the public was invited to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) report. Mr. Marsh said CENSE submitted well-documented alternatives with the help of a technical expert and is appalled that those alternatives were eliminated for further evaluation. He requested more

information on those conclusions and submitted a letter from legal counsel for CENSE.

- (b) Steve O'Donnell, President and Co-founder of CENSE, followed up on the previous speaker's comments. He expressed concern that Stantec Engineering completed a document validating the need for PSE's 230kV transmission corridor proposed by the Energize Eastside project. He said the consultant has done substantial work for PSE in the past and is not an objective party. Mr. O'Donnell said CENSE believes there is information that has not been released to the public for the purpose of inviting comment on the DEIS review. He expressed concern that the Energize Eastside project provides energy to sell to Canada. Mr. O'Donnell said CENSE requests that additional project alternatives be considered. He submitted his comments in writing.

Mayor Balducci asked the City Manager and City staff who participated in the EIS review to provide information and a response to the Council regarding CENSE's concerns. She suggested that CENSE and its legal counsel receive the response as well.

Mayor Balducci noted that the City is not the responsible authority for the Energize Eastside project. The City provided public comment on the DEIS in the same manner as any member of the public.

- (c) Alex Zimmerman, StandUP-America, said there is a lack of freedom of speech. He referred to a June 29, 2015 Washington Supreme Court decision about profane language. He said the Council represents fascism and he reiterated his ongoing request for monthly community meetings with the City Manager. He said the Councilmembers are all idiots.
- (d) Doug Hoople, representing the King County Veterans and Human Services Levy Oversight Board, provided copies of the Levy's annual report. He said the last five pages of the report summarize performance and financial data. He is happy to see the new Low Income Housing Institute (LIHI) housing development in downtown Bellevue serving veterans and low-income individuals. Mr. Hoople noted that the Council Chamber flag was not properly displayed because the Eagle at the top of the pole should be facing out. Mayor Balducci thanked him for his service to the community.
- (e) Stu Vander Hoek addressed Agenda Item 11(a) and expressed support for option A-1. He thanked the Council for listening to the community and working to resolve the misapplication of the parking requirement exemption for three Old Bellevue properties. He said option A-2 is not a solution and validates an admitted mistake by the City, with the burden of parking falling on the Downtown Park and neighboring properties. Mr. Vander Hoek submitted his comments in writing.
- (f) John Holmes, representing the owners of the One Main building, said the Parking Code was erroneously applied to their and two other properties. He said the retroactive

application of the correct parking requirements would cause severe adverse impacts to businesses in the building. He spoke against option A-1 and said option A-2 merely continues the status quo. Mr. Holmes said the building would not be able to re-lease space if the parking requirements are imposed. He said option A-2 will help retain tenants and be a benefit for Old Main Street.

- (g) Wade Moller said he is one of the owners of Mercato Stellina Pizzeria and Cantinetta restaurant. His company also applied to lease the space that later was leased to Soaring Heart, but was unable to find and acquire the required parking spaces. They signed that lease under the provisions reflected in the building permit, which later was modified to add the parking requirement. He said they worked closely with the ownership group of the One Main development to identify parking. They were not successful and were forced to terminate their lease with One Main despite the costs incurred up to that point.
- (h) Tyson Clarke spoke on behalf of the owner of the Borgata building, which would be impacted by any change in the parking exemption granted to the development. He spoke in favor of option A-2. He said the Borgata building has 5,400 square feet of retail space and four tenants, and is currently required to have 13 parking spaces. If the exemption is removed, 18 spaces would be required. He expressed concern that, if a tenant were to leave, the building would likely not be able to lease the space. He said option A-1 could lead to dark storefronts on Main Street due to parking requirements.

5. Reports of Community Council, Boards and Commissions: None.

6. Report of the City Manager

- (a) Management Brief regarding awards from Public Technology Institute for Technology Solutions

City Manager Brad Miyake noted the management brief in the meeting packet from Toni Cramer, Chief Information Officer, highlighting technology solutions awards received by the City from the Public Technology Institute. One award recognizes an intuitive mobile solution that allows contractors and City staff to track and report construction activity in the City's right-of-way. The second award commends the development of an interactive mapping solution for sharing information related to the City's capital projects with residents.

7. Council Business and New Initiatives

Councilmember Chelminiak said applicants for a vacancy on the Human Services Commission were interviewed during the Council's August recess.

- Councilmember Chelminiak moved to appoint Ann Oxrieder to serve on the Human Services Commission, and Councilmember Stokes seconded the motion.
- The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

Mayor Balducci recalled asking staff to work on compiling Bellevue's priorities for the Sound Transit 3 (ST3) voter package. She said all of Bellevue's projects are on Sound Transit's list, and she asked Joyce Nichols, Director of Intergovernmental Relations, to share that information with the Council.

8. Consent Calendar

- Councilmember Robertson moved to approve the Consent Calendar, and Councilmember Stokes seconded the motion.
- The motion to approve the Consent Calendar carried by a vote of 6-0, and the following items were approved:
 - (a) Council Meeting Minutes
Minutes of July 13, 2015 Extended Study Session
Minutes of July 27, 2015 Extended Study Session
 - (b) Arts Commission request for Council feedback and approval of the 2016 Guidelines for Eastside Arts Partnerships and Special Projects funding programs.
 - (c) Ordinance No. 6255 authorizing amendments to city of Bellevue emergency management-related codes including: deletion of chapter 3.33 Emergency and Safety Services Group, and updates to chapter 3.98 Emergency Services Organization and chapter 9.22 Mayor's Emergency Powers.
 - (d) Resolution No. 8968 authorizing execution of a Professional Engineering Services Agreement with David Evans and Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$1,157,722.00, to complete design for the Mountains to Sound Greenway Trail crossings over Factoria Boulevard SE and I-90/I-405 off ramps, and improvements to the I-90 off ramp at Factoria Boulevard SE, as part of the Mountains to Sound Greenway Project (CIP Plan No. PW-W/B-78).
 - (e) Resolution No. 8969 authorizing execution of Amendment No. 3 to the Professional Services Agreement with MWH Americas, Inc. for engineering services for Midlakes Pump Station Capacity Improvements - Design and Bidding Services, in the amount of \$131,120.04 (CIP Plan No. S-61).
 - (f) Ordinance No. 6256 authorizing: 1) An update and time extension of the Commute Trip Reduction (CTR) Plan for 2015 through 2019; 2) Execution of an agreement (and supplements if necessary) with the Washington State Department

of Transportation (WSDOT) for receipt of 2015-2017 state funds in the amount of \$204,946 to support the City's CTR program; 3) Amendment of the 2015-2016 Operating Grants, Donations and Special Reserves Fund appropriation in the amount of \$204,946; and, 4) Execution of an agreement (and supplements if necessary) with King County Metro in an amount up to \$237,597 for Commute Trip Reduction services at CTR-affected employer worksites and for services at Transportation Management Program (TMP)-affected building sites.

9. Public Hearings: None.

10. Land Use: None.

11. Other Ordinances, Resolutions and Motions

- (a) Ordinance No. 6254 authorizing final action on the Land Use Code Amendment (LUCA) to clarify the definition of "existing building" for the purpose of administering the parking requirements applicable to restaurant and retail uses in Downtown Old Bellevue.

City Manager Miyake said Ordinance No. 6254 represents final action on the Land Use Code Amendment to clarify the definition of "existing building" for the purpose of administering parking requirements for restaurant and retail uses in Old Bellevue. He recalled that the Council initiated action in response to a request from the Vander Hoek Corporation. A Study Session was held on June 22 to consider the requested Code amendment. At that time, the Council directed staff to draft an alternative LUCA to consider with the Vander Hoek proposed amendment.

Carol Helland, Land Use Director, presented two LUCA options. Option A-1 clarifies the 1998 Code language which states that the parking exemption applies only to buildings in existence in 1998. This exempts the first 1,500 net square feet of space from parking requirements, regardless of future changes in use (e.g., retail use to restaurant use). Beyond 1,500 NSF, retail uses are required to provide four parking spaces per 1,000 NSF and restaurant uses must provide 10 spaces per 1,000 NSF.

Ms. Helland said option A-1 contains specific language applicable to the three buildings that mistakenly received the parking exemption after 1998. If those tenant uses change or anything is altered, those uses must come into full compliance with the parking requirements without the exemption.

Responding to Councilmember Lee, Ms. Helland said the three properties were constructed after 1998 and should not have received the parking exemption.

Responding to Mayor Balducci, Ms. Helland said option A-1 exempts tenant space, regardless of use. Option A-2 was drafted in response to comments that were made prior to the Council's public hearing on this topic. The issue was raised that the three buildings were mistakenly granted an exemption and a question was raised about whether it would be possible to grandfather them in and ensure that the conditions that exist today do not become any worse.

Ms. Helland said the grandfathering language in option A-2 does two things. It grandfatheres the mistaken approvals of parking exemptions while also requiring increased parking if the tenant use intensifies (e.g., retail to restaurant use). This same language applies to all buildings, including those permitted prior to 1998. Option A-2 represents a new restriction on all buildings, pre- and post-1998 until 2006.

Councilmember Robertson stated her understanding that option A-1 clarifies the Code to carry out the legislative intent of the 1998 amendment. Ms. Helland agreed. Ms. Robertson questioned whether the City informed the three building owners of the intent of the 1998 Code. Ms. Helland said the City communicated specifically with the owners of One Main because the tenant issue arose. Staff spoke to the owners of the Borgata regarding the bridal shop. Staff has not communicated with Main Place Apartments.

Based on the past Code, Ms. Robertson questioned the effect of doing nothing at this point. Ms. Helland said staff would continue to administer the existing Code consistent with option A-1.

With regard to re-tenanting in the three buildings under option A-1, Ms. Helland confirmed that a restaurant use could be converted to a retail use. The business would be required to comply with parking requirements. Ms. Helland said every building is different and the parking requirement would be based on the mix of tenants in the building at the time.

Councilmember Robertson said her understanding was that the three buildings could re-tenant with a general retail use but not with a restaurant. Ms. Helland said that, if the parking exemption was granted, a building does not necessarily have the parking available to support the retail use. Ms. Robertson observed that all three buildings provide excess spaces under the current application of the parking exemption. She suggested there is the potential to re-tenant, just not with a restaurant. Ms. Helland said that could be true, depending on the mix of tenants. She said Soaring Hearts in One Main is furniture retail, which typically requires 1.5 parking spaces per 1,000 NSF. A general retail use would require four spaces.

Councilmember Robinson said she does not want to make it hard for businesses to re-tenant. She also does not want to encourage tearing down old buildings to create new buildings with the appropriate amount of parking to achieve tenant goals. She questioned how option A-1 differs from option A-2.

As an example, Ms. Helland said the Borgata was mistakenly approved. Option A-1 would mean that, if the coffee/tea shop tenant changed to a restaurant use, it would continue to be able to utilize its current parking supply of no spaces. She said the former bridal store would have required a parking supply of four spaces per 1,000 NSF. However, under option A-1, that space would not be required to provide parking, even for a restaurant use. A restaurant would intensify the use and make the parking situation worse.

Under option A-2, Ms. Helland said the tea shop in the Borgata is currently a restaurant and would be allowed to remain a restaurant, even if the tenant changed. It would be allowed to become a retail space as well. The bridal shop was provided the parking exemption for retail.

However, if that space changed to a restaurant use, parking would be required at the rate of 10 spaces per 1,000 NSF. Ms. Helland said the intensification of use would require additional parking supply. That scenario would apply to all buildings in Old Bellevue constructed before 1998. Therefore, parking would improve incrementally and/or lower intensity uses would re-tenant as similar low intensity uses.

Mayor Balducci noted the scenario described during oral communications in which a restaurant use was not able to identify sufficient parking to move into a former retail use space. Under option A-2, the restaurant lease could happen only if the required parking was provided. Under option A-1, the change in tenant use could occur without the increased parking if the building was in existence in 1998.

Ms. Helland said the Code in each case would apply equally to all buildings in Old Bellevue, based on their current status. Mayor Balducci observed that option A-1 restricts the age of the building. Option A-2 restricts the use and makes it harder to re-tenant to a more intensive use, but allows the three buildings to take advantage of the parking exemption.

Councilmember Lee observed that, if the goal is to provide more parking, option A-2 appears to be more restrictive in terms of adding parking supply. Ms. Helland confirmed that option A-1 allows uses to intensify without requiring more parking. Mr. Lee said option A-2 requires more parking spaces. Ms. Helland said a building would need to either retain the lower intensity parking demand use (i.e., retail) or find more parking.

Councilmember Chelminiak questioned whether either or both options require all businesses/buildings along Old Main Street, including the three receiving an exemption, to provide appropriate parking if a use changes to a more intensive use. Ms. Helland said A-2 reflects this requirement. Councilmember Chelminiak said he was a little surprised to learn that this evening.

Mr. Chelminiak questioned whether option A-1 results in requiring that the three post-1998 buildings receiving an exemption must provide more parking. Ms. Helland said option A-1 requires more parking for tenant or ownership changes, substantial remodels, and expansions of any use. Essentially, any change in the three buildings would increase the parking requirements.

Responding to Mr. Chelminiak, Ms. Helland confirmed that option A-2 establishes the status quo and applies the standard to all businesses. Mr. Chelminiak said he doubts Old Bellevue businesses understand this is an option. Ms. Helland agreed.

Councilmember Stokes questioned the earlier reference to 2006. Ms. Helland said 2006 was a measurement for the City. Building development occurs in cycles and the prior Code referred to 1998. The mistakes in applying the parking exemption occurred during the next building cycle between 1998 and 2006. The significance of the date is that the building had to have been constructed and/or vested by that time, and it had to have received the benefit of the exemption. Ms. Helland said the Mayor accurately summarized this earlier in the day as a mechanism to describe which buildings are being captured without naming the three buildings under discussion.

In further response to Councilmember Stokes, Ms. Helland said the intent of option A-2 is to grandfather the current parking supply for the three buildings, even if tenants change. She recalled that, when this was previously discussed by the Council, Deputy Mayor Wallace suggested grandfathering the three buildings but not making matters any worse. Ms. Helland said staff took that as direction that existing retail and restaurant uses should be allowed to continue. However, the three buildings should not have the benefit of the parking exemption for the space if a change in use would intensify beyond the current impact.

Continuing, Mr. Helland said that, under option A-1, all pre-1998 constructed buildings receive the benefit of the parking exemption. Under option A-2, buildings constructed prior to 2006 that have received the parking exemption will continue to receive the benefit if they do not intensify uses. She said option A-2 restricts what pre-1998 buildings would currently be able to do.

Responding to Mr. Stokes, Ms. Helland said option A-2 puts the three buildings on the same footing as the pre-1998 buildings, but it puts pre-1998 buildings on a new standard as well. Mr. Stokes observed that he did not recall any previous discussion along this line. He questioned whether business and building owners understand this option. Ms. Helland said she did not believe so.

Ms. Helland said staff approached this issue as an amendment that the Council wanted to expedite. Staff came back with option A-1 consistent with the Council's early direction. However, new direction was provided during the continued Council discussion. Ms. Helland said it was a relatively short conversation, and perhaps staff misunderstood the Council's intent. However, the direction was to grandfather the existing buildings and to not allow the parking problem to get any worse. This is accomplished by option A-2. However, it makes all businesses and buildings contribute to the solution, not just the three post-1998 buildings that incorrectly received the parking exemption.

Mayor Balducci observed that there could be four options to consider. There are two variables: 1) which buildings are included based on when they were built, and 2) whether the exemption for those who are qualified to receive it can be extended when they change to a more intensive use.

Councilmember Robertson recalled discussing how to phase out the exemption. She said Ms. Helland's response at that time was that approach would require expanded public outreach. Ms. Robertson observed that option A-2 has more ramifications than originally intended and there has not been adequate community outreach.

Responding to Ms. Robertson, Ms. Helland said staff has not engaged with all Old Main businesses in the way it normally would to consider a substantive amendment. Ms. Helland suggested that the issue be referred to the Planning Commission if expanded public outreach is desired by the Council. In further response to Ms. Robertson, Ms. Helland said option A-2 was presented in the materials for the public hearing in July.

Councilmember Robertson said it is unfortunate that the City made errors in the past. She was interested in clarifying the status quo (option A-1) and still believes that needs to happen. She

expressed concern about option A-2 because businesses are not aware that it is under consideration. She noted the need for a comprehensive review of Old Main and overall Downtown parking issues as part of the Downtown Update and to have the Planning Commission study this specific issue in greater detail. She would support option A-1 if a motion is presented but would not support option A-2.

Councilmember Chelminiak observed that option A-1, while somewhat reflecting the status quo, goes beyond what is needed. It seems a strong blow against buildings in which the City made an error, while it is also unfair to buildings who did not receive the benefit of the error and have been required to provide parking. He recalled that, when the Council decided to pursue this amendment, staff noted that the issues were complicated. He agrees. At this point, Mr. Chelminiak said he suggests not doing anything until there is a better understanding of the options and implications. He suggested forwarding the issue to the Planning Commission as part of the Downtown Livability work.

Mr. Chelminiak said the parking supply issue in Old Bellevue has been discussed as an economic constraint on businesses since at least the 1990s. However, it is vibrant and continues to develop. He observed that option A-2 provides fairness. However, he is concerned that businesses are not aware of the possible action.

Responding to Mayor Balducci, Ms. Helland confirmed that adoption of option A-1 would result in the City continuing to administer the 1998 Code. Ms. Helland noted that the owners of Mercato Stellina and Cantinetta initially inquired about a pre-1998 building and did not realize the difference in parking requirements for post-1998 buildings.

Councilmember Chelminiak said he is leaning toward the position that the three buildings should continue to receive the parking exemption because it was the City's mistake. However, neither option accomplishes that action.

Ms. Helland said that could be accomplished through a modification to option A-2 that would remove the limitation that would require additional parking for the intensification of uses. That would cover the three buildings and eliminate the limitation. Councilmember Chelminiak noted that, if he had to take action tonight, this would be his preferred option.

Councilmember Stokes suggested not taking any action at this time and referring the topic to the Planning Commission. He said there are broader issue to address and extensive public outreach is needed.

Mayor Balducci said the purpose of pursuing this issue was to acknowledge the City's mistake for the three properties and to fix the situation. Responding to Ms. Balducci, Ms. Helland said there are other situations since 1998 in which the Code was properly applied with regard to the parking exemption.

Ms. Balducci said that, if the Council does nothing tonight, staff will continue to properly apply the Code. New developments have ongoing concerns that the Code limits their ability to tenant and re-tenant their buildings. She said there are legitimate interests on all sides of this issue, all

of whom share the same parking challenges. The question is how to balance economic development with Code considerations.

Mayor Balducci observed that nothing the Council does tonight would solve the need for more parking; that is a separate discussion. She suggested that, if the Council is going to consider substantive changes to the Code, there needs to be better research and public input. This was meant to be a quick fix. However, if that is not possible, Ms. Balducci said she would lean toward not changing the Code at this time.

Councilmember Stokes reiterated his suggestion to refer the topic to the Planning Commission.

Councilmember Lee said he was approaching this discussion as seeking a parking solution. If the goal is to require more parking, perhaps option A-2 is beneficial. However, if the goal is to correct the City's mistakes, option A-1 appears to be the better option. Mr. Lee said he is not ready to choose either option. He suggested clarifying the problem before working to solve it.

Councilmember Robertson said the Council will be discussing the planning work program next week. If this topic is folded into the Downtown Livability work, are there sufficient resources to address it? She suggested that the Council discuss this issue next week when it prioritizes the planning work plan.

Ms. Helland said the planning work plan has both short-term and long-term elements related to the Downtown Livability work. Old Bellevue parking has always been considered a part of this work. However, it was identified as a specific issue to expedite because staff initially thought there was an easy solution.

Responding to Councilmember Robertson, Ms. Helland confirmed that the topic will be addressed by the Planning Commission as previously planned if the Council decides to not take action tonight. Ms. Helland clarified that staff did the required outreach for Code amendments. However, the Council generally asks staff to engage the public at a higher standard of outreach.

Councilmember Robinson expressed concern about the ability to re-tenant and its effect on economic viability. She would be prepared to approve option A-1 at this time, if the three buildings could be included. If option A-2 or other alternatives are to be considered, she prefers to refer the issue to the Planning Commission and to conduct far more public outreach.

Councilmember Chelminiak suggested a possible option A-3, which would essentially preserve the exemptions incorrectly granted to the three buildings. Ms. Helland said that option could be considered as part of the Downtown Livability work.

Councilmember Stokes said another alternative is to modify the language of option A-2 to make it consistent with the exemptions specified in option A-1 (i.e., exemptions based on space versus parking supply). Ms. Helland observed that this suggestion is consistent with Mr. Chelminiak's suggestion. Mr. Stokes reiterated his interest in making a motion to refer the topic to the Planning Commission.

Mayor Balducci suggested it would make sense to address this further next week when the Council discusses the LUCA work plan.

Mayor Balducci said the Council is in a somewhat awkward position. The Council wants to correct the immediate problem while also moving forward with a broader review of parking needs. She said this issue was more complicated than originally anticipated.

12. Unfinished Business: None.

13. Continued Oral Communications

Anna Flora, Vander Hoek Corporation, said she is disappointed in the meeting's outcome. She said Tyson Clarke commented earlier that the parking requirements would prevent them from being able to re-tenant spaces. Ms. Flora disagreed that option A-1 is the same as the status quo. Doing nothing means the Vander Hoek Corporation is paying for parking that is being used by other businesses. Ms. Flora expressed appreciation for the time spent by the Council and City staff on this matter.

Mayor Balducci invited individuals to submit comments via email.

Councilmember Robinson said the King County Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C) will be asking the City to sign a letter. She asked staff to address the letter content and the ramifications of signing the letter next week.

14. New Business: None.

15. Executive Session: None.

16. Adjournment

At 9:58 p.m., Mayor Balducci declared the meeting adjourned.

Kyle Stannert
Acting City Clerk

/kaw