



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
11511 MAIN ST., P.O. BOX 90012
BELLEVUE, WA 98009-9012

ADDENDUM

PROPONENT: City of Bellevue

NAME OF PROPOSAL: 2008 Annual Amendments to the Bellevue Comprehensive Plan (CPA)

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL: Citywide and various locations

FILE NUMBER: 08-103705 AC Sambica; 08-109519 AC Coal Creek UGB;
08-123138 AC Ped/Bike Plan Update; 07-138746 AC Bel-Red Corridor Project

ORIGINAL PUBLICATION DATE: October 30, 2008

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL AND NEW INFORMATION: A DNS was issued on October 30, 2008 for the 2008 Annual Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, including a Work Program and proposed amendments to the Bellevue Comprehensive Plan for purposes of RCW 36.70A.130, assuring that the Plan continues to comply with the requirements of the GMA and including consideration of emerging local and regional needs, changes to state and federal laws, Bellevue's progress towards meeting GMA Goals, and whether the Plan is internally consistent. This addendum considers the additional cumulative impacts of the Bel-Red Corridor Programmatic EIS (05-127994 LE) and associated Comprehensive Plan Amendment (07-138746 AC). Under Washington Administrative Code (WAC) section 197-11-706, an addendum is defined as "an environmental document used to provide additional information or analysis that does not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts of alternatives in the existing environmental document."

The Environmental Coordinator of the City of Bellevue has determined that the new information provided adds analyses or information about the proposal that does not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts in the existing environmental document. This Addendum is issued under WAC 197-11-600 and WAC 197-11-625.

Carol V. Holland
Environmental Coordinator

February 12, 2009
Date

OTHERS TO RECEIVE THIS DOCUMENT:

State Department of Fish and Wildlife
State Department of Ecology,
Army Corps of Engineers
Attorney General
Muckleshoot Indian Tribe

| 2008 CPA Cumulative Impacts Matrix (see <i>reference</i> for topic details) | Impacts to non-project elements ¹ of the environment (see the environmental summary for details, and chapter 1 of the Bel-Red EIS) | | | | | | Cumulative impacts conclusion | Mitigation measures |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | impacts to water, air, toxic substances, noise impacts | affect plants, animals, fish, marine life | deplete natural resources | affect governmentally protected areas | affect land and shoreline use | increase demands on transportation or public services/utilities | | |
| Sambica <i>Environmental checklist</i> | No | No | No | No | Yes* | No | • Foreseen by the Plan • Consistent with GMA Goals • Internally consistent | Impacts mitigated with regulatory or SEPA-authority mitigation |
| Coal Creek UGB <i>Environmental checklist</i> | No | No | No | No | No | No | | N/A |
| Ped/Bike Plan Update <i>Environmental checklist</i> | No | No | No | No | No | Yes** | | Yes |
| Bel-Red Corridor Project <i>Environmental Impact Statement (MI) Addendum to EIS</i> | No | No | No | No | Yes*** | Yes**** | | Yes |

Created on 3/2/2004 4:51 PM

nmatz Page 1 2/3/2009

* The Shoreline Management Area boundary extends approximately 10-13 feet onto a portion of property owned by Sambica and which is included in this CPA. The proposal would not allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans, those plans being the Comprehensive Plan and the Shoreline Management Plan, because the proposal does not encourage any changes to the shoreline uses and thus is not an adverse or significant impact. The Sambica CPA proposal does not alter the existing recreational water uses and facilities that are an integrated part of the existing use and facility but which are located both within the Shoreline Management area and on property owned by the Strandvik Association, an entity separate from Sambica but which with Sambica has voting shares.

**At build out the proposed Ped/Bike Plan Update will increase demands on the non-motorized portions of transportation networks. It will decrease demands on the motorized portions of such networks, which will reduce or respond to demands for transportation network use. This is neither an adverse nor a significant impact.

***The MI Addendum to the Bel-Red EIS concluded that development intensity on the MI District site would not be increased and thus not change the conclusions presented in the EIS.

****This is a programmatic or “non-project” EIS. This type of analysis is used to evaluate the impacts of adopting planning documents and other agency actions that do not involve constructing specific projects. Although any action alternative could support the construction of many specific projects, such new land development and transportation system improvements, these projects are not proposed for development at this time and are not defined in detail. Because of the programmatic nature of this document, most elements of the environment have been evaluated qualitatively. However, computer modeling was used to assess transportation potential future impacts

¹Table 1-3 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Bel-Red Corridor Alternatives was correlated with the non-project elements found in the Supplement Sheet for Nonproject Action for purposes of cumulative impacts evaluation