



DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
450 110th Ave NE., P.O. BOX 90012
BELLEVUE, WA 98009-9012

OPTIONAL DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE (DNS) NOTICE MATERIALS

The attached materials are being sent to you pursuant to the requirements for the Optional DNS Process (WAC 197-11-355). A DNS on the attached proposal is likely. This may be the only opportunity to comment on environmental impacts of the proposal. Mitigation measures from standard codes will apply. Project review may require mitigation regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the subsequent threshold determination for this proposal may be obtained upon request.

File No.: 08-132880 AB

Project Name/Address: Clearing and Grading Code Amendments – City Wide

Planner: Heidi Bedwell, Associate Land Use Planner

Phone Number: 425-452-4862

Minimum Comment Period: November 6, 2008

Materials included in this Notice:

- Blue Bulletin
- Checklist
- Vicinity Map
- Plans
- Other:

**CITY OF BELLEVUE
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
(Integrated SEPA/GMA Process)**

A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

PROPOSAL TITLE: Clearing & Grading Code amendments—CAO consistency
PROPERTY OWNERS' NAME: N/A: applies City-wide

PROPOSAL LOCATION (street address and nearest cross street or intersection as well as a legal description if available): City-wide

PROPONENT'S NAME: City of Bellevue, Development Services Department

CONTACT PERSON'S NAME: Heidi Bedwell, Associate Land Use Planner

CONTACT PERSON'S ADDRESS: Development Services Department
City of Bellevue
P.O. Box 90012
Bellevue, WA 98009-9012

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE: 425-452-6134

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL'S SCOPE AND NATURE:

1. **General description:** The proposal is to amend the City's Clearing and Grading Code (BCC Ch. 23.76) to update the code to reflect the adoption of the City's critical areas ordinances (Ordinances numbers 5680, 5681, 5682, and 5683). The amendments update use of terms for consistency with the critical areas ordinance, and may update areas subject to certain rainy season restrictions if necessary to be consistent with the critical areas ordinances.
2. **Site acreage:** Applies to all property in the City.
3. **Number of dwelling units/buildings to be demolished:** N/A
4. **Number of dwelling units/buildings to be constructed:** N/A
5. **Square footage of buildings to be demolished:** N/A
6. **Square footage of buildings to be constructed:** N/A
7. **Quantity of earth movement (in cubic yards):** N/A
8. **Proposed land use:** This proposal does not impact land uses.

9. **Design features, including building height, number of stories and proposed exterior materials:** N/A

10. **Other:** N/A

Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

A public hearing on the proposal is anticipated in December of 2008. City Council final action on the proposal will follow that public hearing.

Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

If the proposal is adopted by the City Council, future development proposals will be subject to the updated clearing and grading code.

List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

SEPA checklist, and materials, including Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements prepared in connection with the City's critical areas ordinance update, under File Nos. 03-100693 AD and 05-103010 LE.

Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. List dates applied for and file numbers, if known.

The City has various proposals for development under review. New proposals would be processed according to the proposed amendments to the clearing and grading code, if the Council adopts the amendments.

List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. If permits have been applied for, list application date and file numbers, if known.

Ordinance adoption by the City Council.

B. Environmental Elements

No discussion of the individual Environmental Elements is required for GMA actions per WAC 197-11-235(3)(b).

C. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (do not use this sheet for project actions)

SUMMARY

Project Summary: The proposal is to amend the Bellevue Clearing & Grading Code to achieve consistency with the recently adopted critical areas ordinance, and to update areas of the City subject to rainy season restrictions if necessary for consistency with the critical areas ordinance.

Environmental Summary per WAC 197-11-235(3)(b):

State the proposal's objectives: To achieve consistency among the City's various codes that apply to projects within or near critical areas.

Specify the purpose and need to which the proposal is responding: The City adopted updates to its critical areas ordinances as a result of the requirements of the Growth Management Act. Achieving consistency with other code sections is required to ensure efficient and straightforward review for property owners.

State the major conclusions, significant areas of controversy and uncertainty: Development regulations that are consistent with and implement the Comprehensive Plan are required under the GMA. Little controversy exists related to this proposal.

State the issues to be resolved, including the environmental choices to be made among alternative courses of action: Alternative courses of action include a no-action alternative to not amend the clearing and grading code. In terms of environmental impacts, the two alternatives are not significantly different, as review of proposals within critical areas will be subject to the City's critical areas ordinance under any of the alternatives, which regulations are designed to avoid or mitigate any impacts of development in and near critical areas.

State the impacts of the proposal, including any significant adverse impacts that cannot be mitigated: The proposal is a nonproject action. There are no significant adverse impacts resulting from that action. Adoption of the proposed regulations will ensure that the City is in compliance with GMA requirements for consistency.

Describe any proposed mitigation measures and their effectiveness: No specific development is being approved with this proposal. No significant environmental impacts have been identified, therefore no mitigation measures are proposed. Future development under the provisions of the regulation will be subject to SEPA review, as well as to the City's existing development regulations.

1. **How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?**

The proposed code amendment will not increase the potential impacts to water, air and earth resources or noise production.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: N/A

2. **How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?**

The proposed code amendment will not increase the potential impacts to plants and animals.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are: N/A

3. **How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?**

No adverse impacts to energy or natural resources are anticipated.

Proposed measures to project or conserve energy and natural resources are: N/A

4. **How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?**

The City addresses the impacts of development on environmentally sensitive areas (critical areas) through the substantive regulations adopted in the Land Use Code. The amendments proposed to the clearing and grading code will not impact those substantive regulations.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: N/A

5. **How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?**

The proposal will not affect any land uses or shoreline areas because it does not modify the substantive regulations with which those projects must comply under the Land Use Code.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: N/A

6. **How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?**

None of the proposed amendments will change the demands on the transportation system.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: N/A

7. **Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.**

No conflicts are known or anticipated.

- D. **The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.**

Signature

Collene A. Drews

Date Submitted

10/16/08