



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR  
450 110<sup>th</sup> Ave NE., P.O. BOX 90012  
BELLEVUE, WA 98009-9012

**OPTIONAL DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE (DNS) NOTICE MATERIALS**

The attached materials are being sent to you pursuant to the requirements for the Optional DNS Process (WAC 197-11-355). A DNS on the attached proposal is likely. This may be the only opportunity to comment on environmental impacts of the proposal. Mitigation measures from standard codes will apply. Project review may require mitigation regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the subsequent threshold determination for this proposal may be obtained upon request.

File No.                                07-144146 WG  
Project Name/Address:            Benaroya Residence/69 & 71 Skagit Key  
Planner:                                Heidi M. Bedwell  
Phone Number:                      425-452-4862

**Minimum Comment Period: February 16, 2008**

Materials included in this Notice:

- Blue Bulletin
- Checklist
- Vicinity Map
- Plans
- Other:

**ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

4/18/02

*Thank you in advance for your cooperation and adherence to these procedures. If you need assistance in completing the checklist or have any questions regarding the environmental review process, please visit or call the Permit Center (425-452-6864) between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday (Wednesday, 10 to 4). Our TTY number is 425-452-4636.*

**INTRODUCTION****Purpose of the Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21c RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the City of Bellevue identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the City decide whether an EIS is required.

**Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can. You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the Planner in the Permit Center can assist you. The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. Include references to any reports or studies that you are aware of which are relevant to the answers you provide. The City may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impacts.

**Use of a Checklist for Nonproject Proposals:** *A nonproject proposal includes plans, policies, and programs where actions are different or broader than a single site-specific proposal.*

For nonproject proposals, complete the Environmental Checklist even though you may answer "does not apply" to most questions. In addition, complete the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions available from Permit Processing.

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words *project*, *applicant*, and *property* or *site* should be read as *proposal*, *proposer*, and *affected geographic area*, respectively.

**Attach an 8½" x 11" vicinity map which accurately locates the proposed site.**

City of Bellevue Submittal Requirements	<b>27a</b>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST</b>	
<p style="text-align: right;">12/21/00</p> <p>If you need assistance in completing the checklist or have any questions regarding the environmental review process, please visit or call the Permit Center (425-452-6864) between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday (Wednesday, 10 to 4). Our TTY number is 425-452-4636.</p>	
<b>BACKGROUND INFORMATION</b>	
<p>Property Owner: <b>Larry Benaroya</b></p> <p>Proponent: <b>Suyama, Peterson, Deguchi</b></p> <p>Contact Person: <b>Suyama, Peterson, Deguchi, Attn: Ric Peterson</b> (If different from the owner. All questions and correspondence will be directed to the individual listed.)</p> <p>Address: <b>2324 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121</b></p> <p>Phone: <b>(206) 256-0809</b></p> <p>Proposal Title: <b>Benaroya Residence</b></p> <p>Proposal Location (Street address and nearest cross street or intersection) Provide a legal description if available:   <b>71 Skagit Key: NEW PORT DIV # 3 LOT 13 LESS POR LY SLY OF FOLG DESC LN - REC NO 8802029004</b>  <b>69 Skagit Key: NEW PORT DIV # 3 LOT 12 TGW POR LOT 13 DAF - REC NO 8802029004</b></p> <p>Please attach an 8½" X 11" vicinity map that accurately locates the proposal site.</p>	
<p>Give an accurate, brief description of the proposal's scope and nature:</p> <p>1. General description:</p> <p><b>The applicant proposes to redevelop and combine two single-family residences, located collectively at 69 Skagit Key and 71 Skagit Key in Bellevue, WA. The residences are located along the shoreline of Lake Washington and within close proximity of Coal Creek. A majority of the residence located at 69 Skagit Key will remain intact. Portions of the northern end of the house will be removed. The residence located at 71 Skagit Key will be entirely demolished, rebuilt and connected to the 69 Skagit Key residence. A lot-line adjustment is proposed to eliminate the property line presently existing between the two residences.</b></p> <p><b>The proposed project site is subject to the following buffers and setbacks:</b>  <b>50 ft. stream buffer</b>  <b>50 ft. stream building setback</b>  <b>25 ft. shoreline critical area buffer</b>  <b>25 ft. shoreline critical area building setback</b></p> <p><b>The applicant proposes to adhere to the 50 ft. stream buffer and the 25 ft. shoreline critical area buffer. The applicant proposes reductions to both the stream and shoreline building setbacks. The proposal involves a reduction in the 50 ft. stream building setback to 25 ft. A majority of the existing residence at 71 Skagit Key is located within the 25 ft. stream building setback. The proposed redevelopment of the site will actually encroach less into the building setback than the existing residence does. A reduction in this buffer will</b></p>	

require the applicant to process a Critical Area Land Use Permit through the City of Bellevue.

The proposed project also involves a reduction in the 25 ft. shoreline critical area building setback. The applicant proposes to reduce this setback to 6.5 ft. in one location only. However, the only new development proposed within the 25 ft. setback is a retaining wall/planter that is 46" in height. No other new development is proposed within the setback, although portions of the existing home will remain within the setback. The retaining wall/planter is proposed to return the grade to a more natural elevation. The reduction of the shoreline critical area building setback will require the applicant to process a Critical Area Land Use Permit through the City of Bellevue.

2. Acreage of site: 71 Skagit Key is 0.63 acre; 69 Skagit Key is 0.58 acre.
3. Number of dwelling units/buildings to be demolished: 1
4. Number of dwelling units/buildings to be constructed: **One**
5. Square footage of buildings to be demolished: **Approximately 4,000 sq. ft.**
6. Square footage of buildings to be constructed: **4,141 sq. ft.**
7. Quantity of earth movement (in cubic yards): **The project will have a net export of 275.8 c.y.**
8. Proposed land use: **The project area will include one single-family residence.**
9. Design features, including building height, number of stories, and proposed exterior materials: **The proposed residence will not exceed 30 ft in height.**
10. Other

Estimated date of completion of the proposal or timing of phasing:

**The residence and associated structures would likely take one year to complete, and would begin as soon as all permits have been obtained.**

Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

**None at this time.**

List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

**The Watershed Company. 14 December 2007. Critical Areas Report for the Benaroya Property (attached)**

Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. List dates applied for and file numbers, if known.

**The applicant does not have any other proposals in government review for either 71 or 69 Skagit Key.**

List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. If permits have been applied for, list application date and file numbers, if known.

**City of Bellevue SEPA Review**  
**City of Bellevue Shoreline Substantial Development Permit**  
**City of Bellevue Critical Areas Land Use Permit**  
**City of Bellevue Clearing & Grading Permit**  
**City of Bellevue Building Permit**

Please provide one or more of the following exhibits, if applicable to your proposal.  
(Please check appropriate box(es) for exhibits submitted with your proposal):

- Land Use Reclassification (rezone)  
Map of existing and proposed zoning
  
- Preliminary Plat or Planned Unit Development  
Preliminary plat map
  
- Clearing & Grading Permit  
Plan of existing and proposed grading  
Development plans
  
- Building Permit (or Design Review)  
Site plan  
Clearing & grading plan
  
- Shoreline Management Permit  
Site plan

A. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. EARTH

- a. General description of the site (circle one):  Flat  Rolling  Hilly  Steep slopes  Mountains  Other:

**The property slopes very slightly from the south to the north.**

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

**The steepest slope on the site is approximately 3% and is located along the shoreline near the southern property line of 69 Skagit Key.**

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

**According to the King County Soil Survey, the site is mapped as Briscot silt loam (Br) soils. Briscot silt loam is classified as a hydric soil.**

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

**Coal Creek flood flows compromised a section of streambank on 73 Skagit Key several years ago (73 Skagit Key is just north of 71 Skagit Key). As an interim measure, a sand-bag berm was constructed. The sand-bags are to be replaced with a bioengineered solution as part of a separate development proposal. No other signs of soil instability were readily visible.**

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

**Filling and grading would be conducted as necessary to construct the proposed residential addition. The project proposes a total cut of 672.7 c.y. and a total fill of 396.9 c.y. Therefore, there will be an approximate net export of 275.8 c.y. resulting from the project grading.**

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

**Erosion could occur if exposed soils are mobilized by rainfall. The measures described below would help minimize erosion.**

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

**After project completion, the site will have approximately 23,711 sq. ft. of impervious surfaces. This is approximately 44.7% of the site total. This includes driveways, pathways and buildings.**

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

**All clearing and grading construction would be in accordance with City of Bellevue Clearing & Grading Code, Clearing & Grading Erosion Control Standard Details (EC-1 through EC-23), Development Standards, Land Use Code, permit conditions, and all other applicable codes, ordinances, and standards. All material would be stockpiled on site above the OHWM and outside of the wetland.**

## 2. AIR

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

**Any air quality impacts from construction vehicle emissions and dust generation would be temporary and rapidly dissipated. After project completion, no further impacts to air would occur.**

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

**There are no off-site sources of emissions that will affect the project.**

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

**Standard methods of reducing impacts to air would be utilized, and include keeping all heavy equipment in good operating condition and managing disturbed soils as described above under 1h.**

## 3. WATER

- a. Surface:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

**The project site contains two Type IV wetlands. A Type A riparian corridor (Coal Creek) exists off-site, just to the north. The project is also located along a shoreline of the state (Lake Washington). Both Coal Creek and Lake Washington are perennial waterbodies that ultimately drain into Puget Sound.**

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

**The proposed project will occur almost entirely within 200 feet of either Lake Washington or Coal Creek.**

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

**The project does not propose filling or dredging of surface waters or wetlands.**

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

**No.**

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

**Portions of the project site are designated as 100-year floodplain. A floodplain study has been conducted to determine the limits of the floodplain on the subject property and it has been**

determined that no development is proposed within the floodplain. A copy of the floodplain study is attached.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

**No intentional discharges of waste materials would occur during project construction. Measures would be taken as described above to insure that silt-laden water from uplands does not reach natural surface waters.**

b. Ground

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give a general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

**There will be no withdrawal of or discharge to ground water associated with this project.**

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

**There will be no waste material from septic tanks or other sources discharged into the ground as part of this project.**

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

**Runoff from the immediate project site is not expected except at natural, near pre-development rates. In general, precipitation is expected to infiltrate into beach material and vegetated soils. Any runoff from heavy storms would be directed immediately into Lake Washington either overland or by inflow through gravels. Further, any runoff from the completed project area would be "clean" and would have no effect on water quality or quantity in Lake Washington.**

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

**During construction, fuel, lubricant or other material spills from equipment could enter surface waters. However, spill cleanup equipment shall be present on-site.**

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

**The erosion control measures described under question 1h would help control impacts to surface and runoff water. In addition, equipment would be in good working order with no known leaks.**

#### 4. PLANTS

- a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other: willow, black cottonwood

- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other:
- shrubs:
- pasture
- crop or grain
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, **bulrush**, skunk cabbage, other: **softrush, birdsfoot trefoil**
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other:
- other types of vegetation: **manicured lawn**

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

**Manicured lawn and landscaped trees and shrubs will be removed during the demolition of 71 Skagit Key.**

- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

**No threatened or endangered plant species are known to be on or near the site.**

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

**Vegetative enhancement has been proposed for a large portion of the shoreline. Approximately 1,186 square feet of native plantings are proposed in an area west of the proposed residence and bordered by the Lake Washington ordinary high water mark to the west and the proposed new property line to the north. Salmonberry, red-osier dogwood and pacific ninebark are proposed in this area. A detailed mitigation area planting plan showing the location of these plantings has been prepared for the project. Proposed plantings throughout the remainder of the site include a variety of native and non-native trees, shrubs and groundcovers.**

## 5. ANIMALS

- a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: **hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds**, other: **waterfowl**

mammals: deer, bear, elk, **beaver**, other: **raccoon, opossum, small mammals such as voles and shrews, muskrat**

fish: **bass, salmon, trout**, herring, shellfish, other:

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

**Adult and juvenile chinook and coho salmon (listed as Threatened and Species of Concern under the Federal Endangered Species Act, respectively) and steelhead (proposed Threatened) migrate through Lake Washington and into Coal Creek. Adults migrate upstream to reach spawning grounds; juveniles migrate downstream from their natal streams to reach the ocean. Lake Washington also contains bull trout, a salmonid listed as Threatened under the Federal Endangered Species Act.**

**The nearest nesting bald eagle pair is located more than 1.0 mile from the site. Bald eagles commonly forage in Lake Washington, particularly at the mouths of salmon-bearing streams such as Coal Creek.**

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

**As described above, adult and juvenile salmon migrate up and downstream, respectively, through Lake Washington and Coal Creek. Migrating waterfowl may use the lake as resting and foraging areas during spring and fall migrations.**

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

**The proposed project will enhance wildlife habitat through the planting of native species along the Lake Washington shoreline. This proposed enhancement would add habitat complexity and help improve fish passage conditions along the shoreline.**

## 6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

**The proposed residence will utilize electricity and natural gas for typical uses such as heating, lighting, powering electronic devices and household appliances, etc.**

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

**No.**

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

**No measures are proposed.**

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

**Typical hazards related to heavy equipment fuels are associated with construction of the proposed project.**

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

**The need for emergency services is not anticipated at the site. In the unlikely event that an accident (spill, fire, other exposure) occurs involving toxic chemicals or hazardous wastes, the local Fire Department's Hazardous Materials Team would respond. If necessary, local medical services might also be required. The full range of safety and accident response supplies would be on-site to treat any emergency.**

- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

**Standard precautions would be taken to ensure the safety of the work crew. The construction manager would be contacted by a crew member immediately upon discovery of a spill. The construction manager would then ensure that the spill is cleaned up in the manner dictated by the chemical use instructions and would contact the appropriate authorities.**

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

**There is no noise in the area that would affect this project.**

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

**Noise associated with the proposed project would be restricted to use of construction-related equipment. Construction noise would be limited to normal daytime working hours. There would be no long-term noise associated with the proposed project.**

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

**As mentioned above, noise would be limited to daylight weekday hours. All heavy equipment would be equipped with effective mufflers in good repair. No other noise-control measures are necessary.**

**8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE**

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

**71 Skagit Key is currently developed with a single-family residence. 69 Skagit Key is also developed with a single-family residence. Adjacent properties to the north and south also contain single-family residences.**

- b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

**No.**

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

**71 Skagit Key and 69 Skagit Key both contain singly family residences. 71 Skagit Key also has a pier for Lake Washington access.**

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

**The existing single-family residence located at 71 Skagit Key will be demolished.**

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

**Single Family (R-2.5).**

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

**SF-M (Single-family medium).**

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

**Residential.**

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

**Lake Washington is a shoreline of the state. Coal Creek is a Type A riparian corridor, and the wetlands found onsite are Category IV wetlands.**

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

**The family of the property owner will reside in the proposed residence.**

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

**No person will be displaced as a result of this project.**

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

**Does not apply.**

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

**The residence is proposed in a single-family residential neighborhood zoned R-2.5. The City's future land use map does not indicate a different use for the area.**

## 9. HOUSING

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

**The project includes one single-family residence that would fall into the high-income category.**

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

**One high-income unit will be demolished.**

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

**The loss of one high-income unit does not warrant any mitigation measures.**

## 10. AESTHETICS

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

**The tallest portion of the new combined residence will be 30 feet.**

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

**Views will remain nearly unchanged as a result of the proposed project. The building footprint of the new residence is almost entirely within the footprints of the two existing residences. Additionally, building heights will not be increased and the area around the new home will be extensively landscaped.**

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

No measures are necessary.

#### 11. LIGHT AND GLARE

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

**The proposed residence will include typical residential outdoor lighting, likely at all entryways and outdoor living spaces and flanking the garage.**

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

**No.**

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

**None.**

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

**No measures are necessary.**

#### 12. RECREATION

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

**Lake Washington provides boating, fishing and wildlife viewing opportunities.**

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

**No.**

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

**No measures are necessary.**

#### 13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

**No places or objects of this type are known to exist in the immediate vicinity.**

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

**There are no landmarks or evidence of such in the immediate vicinity.**

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

**Should historic, archeological, scientific or cultural significant items be encountered during implementation of this project, work would be temporarily stopped while the appropriate agencies are notified.**

#### 14. TRANSPORTATION

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

**The proposed residence will have a driveway off of Skagit Key, with easy access to and from Interstate 405 via Coal Creek Parkway/Lake Washington Boulevard SE.**

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

**The nearest King County Metro transit stop is 0.7 mile southeast at the intersection of I-405 and Coal Creek Parkway SE.**

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

**The existing homes located at 69 and 71 Skagit Key have a combined 3 off-road parking spaces (garage). The completed project will have 4 off-road parking spaces (garage). Therefore, no parking spaces would be eliminated.**

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

**This project will not affect public roads in any way.**

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

**No.**

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

**The number of trips generated per day would be typical of most families.**

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

**None.**

#### 15. PUBLIC SERVICES

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

**No, the proposed project calls for the demolition of an existing single-family residence. Therefore, there will be no increase in the need for public services.**

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

**None**

#### 16. UTILITIES

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

**No new utilities are proposed for the new combined residence. Those utilities currently available at 71 and 69 Skagit Key will remain unchanged.**

**Signature**

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature

*Hugh Mortensen*

Date Submitted:

12.20.07

