

**CITY OF BELLEVUE
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
(Integrated SEPA/GMA Process)**

A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

PROPOSAL TITLE: Capital Facilities for 2007-2013 Capital Investment Program (CIP)
Comprehensive Plan Amendment (07-138957 AC).

PROPERTY OWNERS' NAME: N/A

PROPOSAL LOCATION (street address and nearest cross street or intersection as well as a legal description if available): citywide

PROPOSER'S NAME: City of Bellevue, Department of Planning and Community Development

CONTACT PERSON'S NAME: Nicholas Matz AICP

CONTACT PERSON'S ADDRESS: Department of Planning and Community Development
City of Bellevue
P.O. Box 90012
Bellevue, WA 98009-9012

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE and EMAIL: 425-452-5371; nmatz@bellevuewa.gov

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL'S SCOPE AND NATURE:

1. General description:

The project proposes to amend the Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan, updating references to include the adopted six-year 2007-2013 Capital Investment Program.

Capital Facilities Element map and policy amendments include:

- Change the year reference in the CIP listing on p. 75 from 2005-2011 to 2007-2013;
- Replace Tables CF.3 and CF.4 on pp. 77-78, respectively, with their most recent versions.

2. Site acreage: N/A

3. Number of dwelling units/buildings to be demolished: N/A

4. Number of dwelling units/buildings to be constructed: N/A

5. Square footage of buildings to be demolished: N/A

6. Square footage of buildings to be constructed: N/A

7. Quantity of earth movement (in cubic yards): N/A

8. **Proposed land use:** N/A
9. **Design features, including building height, number of stories and proposed exterior materials:** N/A.
10. **Other:**

Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The Capital Facilities for 2007-2013 Capital Investment Program (CIP) CPA is being reviewed as part of the 2007 Annual Comprehensive Plan Amendments (CPA) work program.

Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. No.

List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

- Draft/Final Environmental Impact Statement – 2007-2013 CIP
- Determination of Non-Significance – Comprehensive Plan Update (Bellevue) – fall 2004
- Non-Project Environmental Impact Statement for 2006-2017 Transportation Facilities Program (05-128280 LE).

Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. List dates applied for and file numbers, if known. None.

List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. If permits have been applied for, list application date and file numbers, if known.

Ordinance action by the City Council for:

- Capital Facilities for 2007-2013 Capital Investment Program (CIP) CPA

B. Environmental Elements

No discussion of the individual Environmental Elements is required for GMA actions per WAC 197-11-235.3.b.

C. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (do not use this sheet for project actions)

SUMMARY

Project Summary: Proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, including the Capital Facilities Element. The project is described in more detail under General Description on page 1.

Environmental Summary per WAC 197-11-235(3)(b):

State the proposal's objectives: To comply with the requirements of the GMA by amending the Comprehensive Plan for capital facilities elements at RCW 36.70A.070(3).

Specify the purpose and need to which the proposal is responding: Keeping the Comprehensive Plan up to date.

State the major conclusions, significant areas of controversy and uncertainty: None.

State the issues to be resolved, including the environmental choices to be made among alternative courses of action: None.

State the impacts of the proposal, including any significant adverse impacts that cannot be mitigated: The proposal is a non-project action to update the Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan in accordance with Growth Management Act provisions.

Describe any proposed mitigation measures and their effectiveness: No specific development is being approved with this proposal. Future development under the provisions of the regulation will be subject to SEPA review, as well as to the city's development regulations.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

- The plan amendments proposed will not directly increase discharges to water, emissions to air, produce, store or release toxic or hazardous substances, or produce noise.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: None.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

There are no known direct impacts to plants, animals, fish or marine life that will result from the proposal.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are: None.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposal is not likely to deplete energy or natural resources.

Proposed measures to project or conserve energy and natural resources are: None.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

There are no known direct impacts to sensitive areas, habitat, historic sites or other protected areas that will result from the proposal.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: None.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposal is not likely to affect land and shoreline use.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: None.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?


The proposal itself does not increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: None.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

No conflicts are known or anticipated.

D. The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature  _____

Date Submitted 10.30.07